'Cost of living Maanidata in an gehealth

wellbeing'

from higher					
education					
Risk 13: Feeling				IR4	
a sense of	IR1	IR2			IR5
belonging					
Risk 14:	IDC	IR2	IR3	IR4	IDC
Placements	IR6	IKZ			IR5
Risk 15:			IR3	IR4	
Facilities for	IR6	IR2/ IR7			IR5
parents					

# Objectives and targets

Indication of risk

Objectives

Targets

course, compared to white students.		white students by 12.5% by 2028. (Baseline 17.5% in 2020-21; target 5% in 2027-28).	
IR3: Higher rates of PT aged 21 and over students not continuing onto the second year of their course, compared to students aged under 21.	5: Increase the continuation rate of PT students aged 21 and over and equal the continuation rate of PT students aged under 21 by 2030.	PTS_2: Reduce the difference in continuation between PT students aged 21 and over and students aged under 21 by 12.6% by 2028 (Baseline 17.6% in 2019-20; target 5% in 2027-28).	IS3
IR4: Higher rates of FT care-leaver students not continuing onto the second year of their course, compared to non- care-leaver students.	6: Increase the continuation rate of FT care leavers to equal the continuation rate of FT non-care-leavers by 2030.	PTS_3: Reduce the difference in continuation between FT care-leaver students and non-care- leavers by 15% by 2028 (Baseline 19.3% in 2020-21; target 4.3% in 2027-28.)	IS4
IR5: Higher rates of FT students with declared mental health conditions not completing their course, compared to students with no disclosed disability.	7: Increase the completion rate of FT students with a disclosed mental health condition to equal the completion rate of FT students with no disclosed disability by 2029.	PTS_4: Reduce the difference in completion between FT students with a declared mental health condition and no disability declared by 9.2% by 2028 (Baseline 12.2% in 2017-18; target 3% in 2027-28).	IS5

Risks to equality of opportunity: NR1: knowledge and skills (IR1, IR6), NR2: information and guidance (IR1 & 4), NR3perception of higher education (IR1 & 4), NR5:

Launch additional young, disadvantaged males and ABMO ethnicity campaigns to tailor messaging to ensure target group have the right information including about the transport fund to visit campus at the right time to make informed decisions on relevant	Expert in marketing, website, HAVAS $q W 7 9 ^ 8 6 6$ overall approximatel 4 W < ^ < 6 6 target group).	
digital platforms .	target group).	
uigitai piationns .		

New activity-2023/24 academic year.

UCAS (2021) *Where next? What influences the choices school leavers make?* Available at: https://www.ucas.com/file/435551/download?token=VUdIDVFh (Accessed: 19 April 2023)

Intervention strategy 2

Objectives and targets: Principal objective: PTS\_1 & OBJ4Increase the continuation rate of FT Black students to equal the continuation rate of white students by 2030. Secondary objectives: PTS\_3

allocation process.	

Streamline systems capturing student data by access rolled out to the Student Enquiry Point

for ad	Iditional
funds	for
new a	activity
arisin	g from
recon	nmenda
tions,	through
the ar	nnual
resou	rce
alloca	ation
proce	ess.

UPP Foundation. (2017) *On course for success? Student retention at university.* Available at: http://www.smf.co.uk/wp -

Institute One Plans to focus at course level on continuation by age, with pilot interventions rolled out where the gaps are most pronounced. New activity- pilot 2024.	allocation process. Staff time (approximately W 8 ^ < 6 6 + - for two days of Senior Lecturer time allocated per institute ). Where needed, bids will be made for additional funds for new activity arising from recommendation s, through the annual resource allocation process.	Long term- increased continuation rates of target group. Short term- staff to identify interventions in /# \$ - k ) ').I 2 # address risk factors to continuati on. Research to be conducted where causes unknown. Medium term - mid-point review of pilot interventions to understand impacts and effectiveness. Long term- increased continuation rates of PT aged 21 and over students on courses with greatest gaps.	N
Review structural impacts on mental health identified in the consultation - e.g., facilities for parents (actions specified in Athena Swan action plan). Commit to continuous improvement for inclusive education. New activity - 2024 and ongoing.	Cost of facilities improvements if needed (e.g., for parents on campus- reviewing estates plan and Equality Impact Assessment (EIA)) gincluded in other projects.	Short term- response to action plan shared by estates with mitigating actions and timeline. Medium term - review changes and commitments, improved feedback through Student- staff forums regarding facilities. Long term- improved reported wellbeing from students.	IS2, IS4 IS5, IS6 & IS7- reviewing structural impacts on mental health will benefit the mental health of all students by improving the culture and inclusiveness of our campuses.

Evidence base and rationale: Butcher, J.(2020) *Unheard: the voices of part-time adult learners.* Available at: <u>https://www.hepi.ac.uk/2020/02/06/unheard</u> -the-voices-of-part-time-adult-learners/ (Accessed June 2023).

UUK.

scoring for Estranged	W > ^ 6 6 6 +	Estranged students in	
students who are also	year allocated	prioritisation criteria.	
vulnerable and a growing	to Care	Medium term - research	
group of students at risk,	Leavers).	conducted on impacts of	
represented at the University.		Bursary on recipients with	
Existing activity.		focus on recomme ndations	
		from 2018 review.	
		Long term- continuation	
		rates of recipients match	
		those who are not eligible	
		for the Cumbria Bursary.	

Funded transport to the campus for an interview day or applicant visit day.

Evidence base and rationale: HASCE report.

Jisc. (2022) The Unite Foundation Scholarship Scheme. A quantitative impact analysis on 10 years of accommodation support for estranged and care leaver students. Available at:

https://thisisusatuni.org/wp -content/uploads/2022/06/Unite -Foundation-JiscAnalysis-Report-Final.pdf (Accessed May 2023).

Styrnol, M., Matic, J., Hume, S. (2021)*Supporting access and student success for learners with 3* + - *\$* ) \*! #*\$*' - )/. *A*<sup>\*</sup>va<sup>\*</sup>*s*</sup> able at: <u>https://s33320.pcdn.co/wp</u> -

content/uploads/Supporting -learners-with -experience-of -childrens -social -care.pdf

f

to identify students'	students with mental	impact all
personal circumstances.	health conditions.	student groups.
	Long term-increased	
New activity-2023/24.	completion rate of target	
	group.	

Institute One Plans to focus at course level on completion by students with a reported disability, and particularly with a mental health condition with pilot interventions rolled out where the gaps are most pronounced in continuation - 0 57.72 345.14

.

Evidence base and rationale: Robertson, A., Mulcahy, E., Baars, S(2022) *What works to tackle mental health inequalities in higher education?* Available at: <u>https://s33320.pcdn.co/wp</u> -<u>content/uploads/Report What -works-to-tackle-mental-health-inequalities-in-higher-education AW-</u> <u>Secured-1.pdf</u> (Accessed June 2023).

UUK (2022) *Suicide-safer universities: support for placement students.* Available at: <u>https://www.universitiesuk.ac.uk/sites/default/files/uploads/Reports/uuk</u> -papyrus-suicide-safer-<u>universities-support-for-placement-students.pdf</u> (Accessed: 19 April 2023)

### Intervention strategy 6

Objectives and targets : Principal objective: PTS\_4 & OBJ8Increase the on-course attainment rate of TUNDRAQ1 FT males achieving 2.1 or f<sup>t</sup> degree outcomes to equal the rate of TUNDRAQ5 FT males by 2029. Secondary objectives: PTA\_1 & OBJ2 (IS1) It will also contribute towards increasing the number of successful applications FT young males, TUNDRA Q1 by 50% in 2028.

Risks to equality of opportunity: NR1:knowledge and skills (IR1, IR6),NR6:insufficient academic support (IR2, 3, 4, 5 & 6, NR7: insufficient personal support (IR2, 3, 4, 5 & 6), NR8mental health (IR2, 3, 4, 5 & 6), NR10cost pressures (IR1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7,)NR12:progression from higher education (IR6 & 7), R14: placements (IR2, 3, 4, 5 & 6)and R15: facilities for parents (IR2, 3, 4, 5 & 6).

Activity	Inputs	Outcomes	Cross intervention?
Establish cross-university working group to target support towards students with characteristics of socio - economic disadvantage across their lifecycle, with a focus on males.	Staff time, financial allocation for student time (approximately		

New activity - 2024.

Institute One Plans to focus on course level attainment of by sex, with pilot interventions including review of pedagogy rolled out where the gaps are most pronounced, Institute of Science and Environment, Institute of Health and Institute of Education, Arts and Society. New activity- pilot 2024.	ns, through the annual resource allocation process. Staff time W?; per year for 2.5 of 5x G10 staff for course level focus on attainment by sex and disadvantage indicators, and pilot interventions q W 9 > 6 6 , /* research. Where needed, bids will be made for additional funds for new activity arising from recommendatio ns, through the annual resource allocation process.	human resources for new and ongoing projects. Long term- increased attainment rate of target group. Short term- staff to identify interventions in /# \$ - k ) ').1 2 address risk factors to underrepresented students with a focus on males and their completion. Research to be conducted where causes unknown. Medium term - mid-point review of pilot interventions to understand impacts and effectivenes s. Long term-increase in male students achieving 2.1 or 1 <sup>st</sup> degree outcome on courses with largest gaps.	Ν
--	--	--	---

Evidence base and rationale: Hillman, N. & Robinson, N.(2016) *Boys to Men: The underachievement of young men in higher education gand how to start tackling it.* Available at: <u>https://www.hepi.ac.uk/wp\_-content/uploads/2016/05/Boys\_-to-Men.pdf</u> (Accessed May 2023).

#### Intervention strategy 7

Objectives and targets: Principal objective: PTP\_1 & OBJ10Increase the progression outcomes of FT Black students to equal progression for white students by 2028.

Risks to equality of opportunity: NR10: cost pressures (IR1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7, NR12: progression from higher education (IR6 & 7) and R15: facilities for parents (IR2, 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7)

Activity	Inputs	Outcomes	Cross intervention?
Submission to Race Equality Charter to develop initiatives and solutions for action to remove barriers to minority ethnicity students and staff.	Staff (approximately W < < = r ^ research.	Short term3.88 re W* n	

New activity-2024.

events relating to careers and employability.

Existing activity.

accessing the support available.

out where the gaps	through Student Success
are most	and Quality Assurance
pronounced.	Committee (SSQAC) and
	Academic Board.

IS4 Continue to allocate funds for Cumbria Bursary, 2\*-/# W7^666 year of FT-

where the gaps are most pronounced.			
IS7 Submission to Race Equality Charter to develop initiatives and solutions for action to remove barriers to minority ethnicity students and staff.	Planned theory of change for race equality at UoC.	Type 1- narrative, moving to type 2 once action plan and theory of change are established to apply evaluation to activities identified.	Internally and externally through UoC conferences around race equality.

## Whole provider approach

The University defines itself as a Widening Participation institution, and staff across the University recognise the importance of creating equality of opportunity for all students, with a focus on those !-\*(0) --+-.)/ "-\*0+.\_ # )\$1 -.\$/41. \*1gTowar#s\$2030. /gis set #4/2 /# \$ # ) ''\*-1. 3 0/\$1 ) ++-\*1 4 /# )\$1 -.\$/4 \*-- /./. purpose and commitment to our statutory duties, including those under the Equality Act 2010 and the Higher Education and Research Act 2017. Staff across academic and professional services work together through our committee structures to form, implement and reflect on action plans to continuously improve our service and support to all students. The elements of Towards 2030 most relevant to Access and Participation are: *Academic Strategy 2022-2030*, *People and Culture Strategy, Learning Teaching and Assessment Plan, Student Support Plan* Employability Action Plans. Our Access and Participation Plan(cess an)] TJ ET Q Iture

## Student consultation

A new Strategic Lead for Access and Participation was recruited to the University in September 2022 to lead on this work with a key priority to engage with the student body in APP work year--\*0) / '' ./ " .\_ # - \$. - +- .)/ /\$\*) !-\*( /# /0 )/.I )\$\*) \*) /# evaluate progress against our existing targets, and work together on new submissions.

Identifying risks to equality of opportunity in our context.

*f* To ensure that sufficient time was given to consult students and staff on their perceived risks to equality of opportunity at the University of Cumbria, a consultation was conducted across the University in March 2023, ahead of the publication of the Equality of Risk

 Additional themes in workshops

 Applying to university ` k
 ...\$ \$'\$/4 # '')" .!^ k \$(\$/ )!\* 

 "0\$)
  $I + -/$ 0' - '4 $(+ /$)" !$-./$) ! ($'4 /* #$"# - 0

 confidence and self !!$ 41_

 Continuing studies:
 k )"'$.# *(+- # ).$*) ) '$/k-' 4()/.1_

 Progressing to further study or employment ` k *"- +#4 *! *++*-/0)$/$$ 

Action staff can take to support these students

The broad themes that suggested solutions were centred around were:

d \*).\$ - /\$\*) \*! ./0 )/.1 +umstån)cels \$d 1\$ 2 ) '\$") ./0 )/ - \*- .4./ (. d 1\$ 2 0--\$ 0'0( ) ....()/ .\$") d 1\$ 2 0-..-4 ) !\$) ) \$ '..0++\*-/ d 1\$ 2 ) \$(+-\*1 \*((0)\$ /\$\*) 2\$/# ) /\* ./0 )/. d )1 ./()/ ) - 1\$ 2 \*! ./ !! - .\*0-

Forming our targets and interventions

# /0 )/.1 )\*) /\$ ' '! - !!\$ - \$. - +- .)/ \*)/# ) # . consulted at all stages of developing the 2024-28 APP, including the drafting of targets and interventions. These have been shaped by the assessment of performance using the OfS APP dashboard, our internal HESA data and the consultation responses from students and staff. We # 1 ( /# ...()/ \*! + -!\*-() ) \$)/ -1 )/\$\*) ./- / "\$ . 1 \$' ' /\* Union who have conducted their own consultation exercise. Our intervention strategies have been designed to balance ambitious change in addressing risks, what we know of ongoing contextual challenges, and the resource we have available.

Monitoring, evaluation and delivery of access and participation work

For 2023-2024, working groups relating to targeted student groups requiring support will be formed to ensure a whole-university approach, with student representation informing our APP work. Students with shared characteristics to those targeted within this Plan will be invited through /# /0 )/.1 )\$\*) /\* + -/\$ \$+ / \$) /# "-\*0+. - .+\*).\$ ' !\*- \*+ - /\$\*) ' of our interventions set out in the 2024-28 plan. The APSG will have overall responsibility for the \$)/ -) ' (\*)\$/\*-\$)" \*! \*0- 2\*-&^ ) /# /0 )/.1 )\$\*) 2\$'' \*)/\$)0 /\* - + of this.

Additionally, the Strategic Lead for Access and Participation will develop a web presence for this important work to encourage year-round opportunities for feedback and discussion from students

We will conduct a review of our systems of data capture relating to student engagement and access to contextual information such as their characteristics so that systems are accessible and sufficient to carry out monitoring and evaluation of student engagement with interven tions. The Strategic Lead for Access and Participation will also be leading a cross -university evaluation culture change relating to access and participation, developing our current best practice (established in access) to be applied in evaluation of success -related activity and interventions. We have developed an Evaluation Toolkit as a key enabler for the development of the culture of evaluation throughout the university. For detailed information about our plans for evaluation of the intervention strategies, please see the table starting on page 23 above.

### Provision of information to students

The University is committed to publishing timely, clear and accessible information to existing and prospective students on all aspects of their course and particularly the financial expectations. Focus is placed on ensuring that students from underrepresented groups are informed of the financial support that they are entitled to because of the provisions set out in this plan. The published information for students relating to the Cumbria Bursary and the Progression Scholarship makes it clear that they are entitled to be included in scoring for the Bursary if they have consented to share financial information,

 $(+ -/\$' + ) & '' \\ $"\$' + + \\ $)/. q 2 $/# #*0. #*' $) *(. *! '.. /#)$ We award bursaries to those with the highest scores.

For  $!0''/\$(./0)/.2 - /# 0(-\$ 0 - . - 4 ^ 1/,@004ea2h$year-of stady W and part time students studying at least 50% of a full-time equivalent course and registered on a minimum three-year course <math>2\$'' - \$1$  W; 66 + - 4 - \*! ./0 4\_

Eligibility criteria

- x Student is entering into year 1 or integrated foundation year of a specified undergraduate degree at the University of Cumbria.

Cumbria Bursaries will not be available to students who are:

- x studying less than 50 credits per year
- x already holding a HE qualification e.g. Cert HE, HND or Foundation Degree(Care Leavers will be exempted).
- x undertaking a postgraduate qualification, including PGCE courses

Prioritisation criteria

Usually, there are more students who meet the criteria than there are awards available.

Bursaries will be allocated according to the following prioritisation criteria:

- x /# ./0 )/I. #\*0. #\*' \$) \*(
- x the student has declared Care Leaver status\*
- x the student has declared a disability on their UCAS form
- x the student is from an a rea where not many people go into Higher Education

d /0 )/ \$) \$ ' "0' /\$\*). - +0 '\$.# # 4 - ) ./0 )/. - .& \$ to read these prior to enrolment. These provide details across a range of subjects including how and when the University collects fees and how the University will respond if students are unable to ( & + 4( )/.\_ # . "0' /\$\*). - 1 \$' ' \*) /# )\$1 -.\$/41. 2 .\$/ ^ ) students to read these ahead of their application.

d \* 0 - . pages provide students with detailed information with respect to fees, additional costs, and the financial support packages we offer.

d # )\$1 -.\$/4 + -/\$ \$+ / . !0''4 \$) /# \$. \*1 - )\$ \*!!\$ \$ ' \*0-. / providing clear, accessible and up to date information on its website on the fees for each year of entry and the funding support available.

d # )  $1 - . \frac{2}{4} 2 - \frac{4}{2} - \frac{4}{2} - \frac{4}{2} - \frac{4}{4} -$ 

### Annex A: Assessment of performance

### Summary of student groups with differential outcomes

In summary, there are differential outcomes for students at the following stages with the following characteris tics. Data correct as of 12<sup>th</sup> June 2023.

Stage	Student groups with differential	Comparator group	Percentage point gap to	Comment	Analysis
	outcomes		comparator group in most	ary	
			recent year assessed		
Access	Sex, Males (FT)	Sex, Females (FT)	29.2 percentage points		
	IMD Q1 (PT)	IMD Q5 (PT)	3 percentage points		
	ABCS Q1students (FT)	ABCS Q5 (FT)	6.3 percentage points		
	ABMO (FT, North West campuses)	White (FT, North West	4.3 percentage points behind		
		campuses)	North West ONS data, and	When	
			3.3 percentage points	controlling	
			difference to all campuses.	for North	

				census	
				data for	
				minority	
				ethnicity	
				students.	
Continu	TUNDRA Q1 (FT)	TUNDRA Q5 (FT)	2.5 percentage points		
ation	IMD Q1(FT)	IMD Q5 (FT)	8.2 percentage points		
	IMD Q1 or 2 females (FT)	IMD Q3, 4 or 5 females (FT)	2.2 percentage points		
	IMD Q1 or 2 males (FT)	IMD Q3, 4 or 5 males (FT)	8 percentage points		
	POLAR 4 Q1 (FT)	POLAR 4 Q5 (FT)	2.4 percentage points		
	ABCS Q1 (FT)	ABCS Q5 (FT)	13.7 percentage points		
	ABCS Q1 (PT)	ABCS Q1 (PT)	31.6 percentage points*		
	Black (FT)	White (FT)	17.5 percentage points		
	Black (PT)	White (PT)	18.4 percentage points*		
	Mixed (FT)	White (FT)	0.5 percentage points*		
	21 and over (FT)	Under 21 (FT)	3.1 percentage points		
	21 and over (PT)	Under 21 (PT)	17.6 percentage points		
	Mental health conditions (FT)	No disability (FT)	2.5 percentage points		
	Mental health conditions (PT)	No disability (PT)	10.3 percentage points*		
	Eligible for FSM (FT)	Not eligible for FSM	4.5 percentage points		
	Care Leaver (FT)	Not care leaver	8.8* percentage points		

```
Comple TUNDRA Q1 (FT)
         IMD Q1 (FT)
tion
(2017-
         POLAR 4 Q1 (FT)
18
         ABCS Q1(FT)
         Asian (FT)
most
         Mixed (FT)
recent
year)
         Other (FT)
         21 and over (FT)
         Disability (FT)
         Cognitive or learning difficulties
         (FT)
         Mental health conditions (FT)
         Multiple impairments (FT)
         Social or communication
         impairment (FT)
         Eligible for FSM (FT)
```

Attainm ent *(2021-*

43

Data sources: This assessment of performance primarily uses the OfS AP data dashboard, in addition to our own internal dashboard populated using HESA data to understand further intersectionality and investigate smaller populations of student characteristics that h ave been suppressed in the OfS public dashboard.

GDPR:To ensure students are not identifiable, we have aggregated smaller student populations where they are not present in the OfS

Completion - While overall, students with reported disabilities had lower proportions of completion than those with none reported, in 2017 -18 students with sensory, medical or physical impairments or multiple impairments had higher rates of completion than no disability reported.

Attainment -

Progression - The percentage of PT aged 21 and over students who progressed into professional or managerial employment, further study or other positive outcome was over 27 percentage points higher than for aged 21 and over FT students 2020-21 and the 2-year aggregate. ABCS Q1&2 PT students had over 25 percentage points better progression outcomes in the 4-year aggregate than FT students.

### ACCESS

Measure	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2020-21 Sector all	2021-22	2021-22 Sector all
						registered English		registered English
						HE providers		HE providers
Sex gap between	38.2	38.2	35					
females and males	percentage	percentage	percentage					
(FT, UG)	points	points	points					

Deprivation	2.7	5 percentage	5.6	5.6	3.7	3.3	2.2	5	
(IMD 2019)	percentage	points	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	
	points		points	points	points	points	points	points	
Gap									
between									
Q3, 4 or 5									
compared									
with Q1 or									
2 females									
(FT, UG)									
Deprivation	5	6.9	2.9	15.8	1.3	6.2	8	7.9	
(IMD 2019)	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	
	points	points	points	points	points	points	points	points	
Gap									
between									
Q3, 4 or 5									
compared									
with Q1 or									
2 males									
(FT, UG)									

Q5 and 1									
(FT, UG)									
ABCSgap between q5 and 1	7.4 percentage points	9.6 percentage points	13.4 percentage points	19.3 percentage points	12.7 percentage points	12.7 percentage points	13.7 percentage points	14.8 percentage points	
(FT, UG)									
ABCSgap between q5 and 1 (PT, UG)	11.1 percentage points	39.5 percentage points	27.8 percentage points	39.1 percentage points	Suppressed (more than 2, fewer than 23 students)	26.1 percentage points			4-year aggregate gap 31.6 percentage points.
Black and white gap (FT, UG)	6.5 percentage points	6.4 percentage points	4.2 percentage points	5.6 percentage points	18 percentage points	5 percentage points	17.5 percentage points	5.8 percentage points	

Mixed and	-3.6	3.9	Suppressed	Suppressed	Suppressed	1.5	Suppressed	2.2	4 year- 0.5
white gap	percentage	percentage	(more than	(more than 2,	(more than 2,	percentage	(more than	percentage	percentage
(FT, UG)	points	points	2, fewer	but is within	but is within	points	2, fewer	points	points gap
			than 23	2 of	2 of		than 23		(denominator
			students)	denominator)	denominator)		students)		80), 2 year-
									2.7
									percentage
									points gap
									(denominator
									40).
Age: gap	0.1	-0.6	2	8.9	8.8	8.2	3.1	9.9	
between	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	
under 21	points	points	points	points	points	points	points	points	
and 21 and									
over. (FT,									
UG)									
Age: gap	9.6	Suppressed	24	Suppressed	17.6	5.6			
between	percentage	(the under 21	percentage	(the under 21	percentage	percentage			
under 21	points	numerator is	points	numerator is	points	points			
and 21 and		more than 2		more than 2					
over. (PT,		but within 2		but within 2					
UG)		of the		of the					
		denominator)		denominator)					

care leaver	than 23	fewer than	than 23	fewer than	than 23	percentage	
(FT, UG)	students)	23 students)	students)	23 students)	students)	points	
						4-year	
						aggregate-	
						8.8	
						percentage	

POLAR 4 Gap	5.1	0.8	13	3.3 percentage	2.5	76.9	4.9	6.7 percentage	
between Q5 and	percentage	percentage	percentage	points	percentage	percentage	percentage	points	
1 (FT, UG)	points	points	points		points	points	points		
ABCS (gap	17.3	21.5	12.7	15.7	16.5	23.2	14.1	23.5	
between q5 and	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	
q1) (FT, UG)	points	points	points	points	points	points	points	points	
Ethnicity-Asian	-6	-5.8	-3.8	-4.2	5.9	1.3	6.7	1.5 percentage	
and White gap	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	points	
(FT, UG)	points	points	points	points	points	points	points		

							year
							aggregate
							Suppressed
							(more than
							2, fewer
							than 23
							students)
Age: gap	2.5	1	1	I			

between under percentage 21 and 21 and points over. (FT, UG)

disability gap	than 23	than 23	than 23				
(FT, UG)	students)	students)	students)				
Social or	Suppressed	Suppressed	Suppressed	Suppressed	Suppressed		
communication	(more than	(more than	(more than	(more than 2,	(more than		
impairment and	2, fewer	2, fewer	2, fewer	fewer than 23	2, fewer		
no disability gap	than 23	than 23	than 23	students)	than 23		
(FT, UG)	students)	students)	students)		students)		

### ATTAINMENT

Measure	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2020-21	2021-22	2021-22	Comments
						Sector all		Sector all	
						registered		registered	
						English HE		English HE	
						providers		providers	

Deprivation	5.5	1.8	10.8	11.9	7.8	11.2	5.3
(IMD 2019)	percentage						
Gap between	points						
Q3, 4 or 5 and	ļ						
Q1 or 2							
females (FT,							
UG)							

23	23	than 23	than 23		
students)	students)	students)	students)		

### PROGRESSION

Measure	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2019-20	2020-21	2020-21	Comments
				Sector all		Sector all	
				registered		registered	
				English HE		English HE	
				providers		providers	
TUNDRA Gap	-1.5	5.3	-0.5	7.1	7.4	6.7	
between Q5	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	
and Q1 (FT)	points	points	points	points	points	points	
Deprivation	4.3	8.2	9 percentage	10.6	4.7	10.8	
(IMD 2019)	percentage	percentage	points	percentage	percentage	percentage	
Gap between	points	points		points	points	points	
q5 and q1 (FT,							
UG)							
Deprivation	9.5	17.1	3.8 percentage	7.4	14.5	7.9	
(IMD 2019)	percentage	percentage	points	percentage	percentage	percentage	
Gap between	points	points		points	points	points	
Q3, 4 or 5							
males and Q1							

or 2 males (FT, UG)			
Deprivation (IMD 2019) Gap between Q3, 4 or 5 females and Q1 or 2 females (FT, UG)	 5 percentage points	5.7 percentage points	6.7

Sensory,Suppressedmedical or(more thanphysical2, fewer thanimpairments23and no-disability gap-(FT, UG)-

	In 2020/21 the gap between the most and least represented	3. Working group as above. Target
	students significantly grew. While the gap between the most and	for male attainment expected to
ion	least advantaged students reduced by three measures (IMD 2019,	impact progression outcomes for
Progression	ABCSand FSM eligibility) the gap grew between the most and	target group.
ogr	least advantaged males.	
Ę		

Student characteristic(s): Minority ethnicity students Asian, Black, Mixed, Other ethnicity (ABMO) Stage Indication of risk Mitigation action We have made progress against our historic target of increasing the 5. Set objective to maintain ABMO proportion of students from minority ethnicities enroling at our North West

Access

(NW) campuses. This is due to the location and demography of our London campus skewing data if included. When controlling for NW, we are 4.4 percentage points behind the NW census data for minority ethnicity proportions, and therefore will continue to target efforts to diversify our student body through an aligned objective, to maintain progress in this area. student proportion growth, to align with NW census data.

Student characte	eristic(s): Age Students 21 and over	
Stage	Indication of risk	Mitigation action
Continuation	While there is a gap in continuation between students who are 21 and over and under 21 students, this has significantly closed in 2020-21 by 5.7 percentage points to 3.1 percentage points. However, the gap in continuation between PT students 21 and over and under 21 is significant.	16. Target for continuation of PT students aged 21 and over.
Completion	The gap between students aged 21 and over opened over 201718 to 1.9 percentage points.	17. Internally monitor completion rates of students aged 21 and over in 2023/24 and ongoing.
Attainment	The gap between students aged 21 and over continued to close in 2021-22 and reduced to 3.8 percentage points.	<ol> <li>18. Internally monitor attainment rate of students ages 21 and over to maintain progress in 2023/24 and ongoing.</li> </ol>

Student char	racteristic(s): Care -leaver	
Stage	Indication of risk	Mitigation action
Continuation	Whilst the numbers are small, the gap between continuation of care leavers over a 4year aggregate is 8.3 percentage points.	19. Target for continuation of care-leavers.
pletion	Whilst the numbers are small, the gap between completion of care leavers and non-care leavers over a 4year aggregate is 8.3 percentage points.	20. Establish Care-leaver and estranged students cross - university w7998 0estranged s

# Annex B: Evidence base and rationale for intervention strategies (further detail)

Consultation Survey questions (with supporting literature considered when designing survey options in brackets).

1. What do you think are the greatest challenges that students face in applying to university? Please rank with 1 as the greatest challenge. (Minimum of 5 must be selected).

Cost of living and finance (Blackbullion, 2023; Blake, 2023; Jones, 2022; Johnston & Westwood, 2023; UniTasterDays, 2019) Understanding the benefits (The Russell Group, 2020; UPP Foundation & HEPI, 2021) Higher level study skills Feeling a sense of belonging Social skills and relationships (HEPI & Unite Students, 2017) Maintaining mental health and wellbeing (Holt-White, De Genarro, Anders, Cullinane, Early, Montacute, Shao & Yarde, 2022, UCAS, 2021) Understanding the UCAS application and/or interview process (The Russell Group, 2020) Understanding the Student Loan application process (Unifrog, 2022; UniTasterDays, 2019) Gaining work experience (Unifrog, 2021) Family expectations (Zhao, L., Zhao, W., 2022) Getting the qualifications to meet the entry requirements (OfS, 2022; The Russell Group, 2020; UCAS, 2021) Identifying if support will be available to meet specific needs (UCAS, 2021) Other

2. What do you think are the greatest challenges that students face to continuing their studies at university? Please rank with 1 as the greatest challenge. (Minimum of 5 must be selected).

Cost of living and finance *(Neves (Advance HE) & Brown (HEPI), 022; Blackbullion, 2023)* Higher level study skills *(Neves (Advance HE) & Brown (HEPI), 2022)* Feeling a sense of belonging *(Neves (Advance HE) & Brown (HEPI), 2022; Pearson & WONKHE, 2021)* 

Social skills and relationships *(Neves (Advance HE) & Brown (HEP), 2022)* Maintaining mental health and wellbeing *(Neves (Advance HE) & Brown (HEPI), 2022; UUK, 2018)* 

Balancing studies with employment (Sutton Trust, 2023)

Family expectations (Phillips, C., 2015)

Achieving the grades to progress onto the next stage of the course

Accessing support to meet specific needs *(Neves (Advance HE) & Brown (HEPI), 2022)* Other

3. What do you think are the greatest challenges that students face continuing to further study or employment after university? Please rank with 1 as t he greatest challenge. (Minimum of 5 must be selected).

Cost of living and finance (Lees, Foster, 2022)

Interview and CV/application skills *(Lees, Foster, 2022)* 

Social skills and relationships

Maintaining mental health and wellbeing *(ISE, WONKHE, AGCS)*, *Handshake, 2022; Lees, Foster, 2022)* 

Balancing studies with employment (Lees, Foster, 2022)

Gaining work experience (Lees, Foster, 2022; Prospects, 2021)

Family expectations

Achieving the degree classification to progress onto the next stage (furthe r study or employment) (UUK, 2019)

Understanding career pathways (ISE, WONKHE, AGCAS, Handshake, 2022; Lees, Foster, 2022)

Accessing support to meet specific needs

Other

4. What do you think is working well to support students at the University of Cumbria, that

you think we should continue?

Free text.

5. Which student groups 1 224.6 386.78 Tm 0 g 0 G [( )] TJ ET Q EMC /Span <</MCID 18/Lang (en-GB

### Excerpt from HASCE report

An Evaluation of the Effect of Bursary and Other Types of Financial Support on Student Outcomes at the University of Cumbria. October 2018.

High Level Summary

This project forms part of an evaluation of the impact of financial s

References

AGCAS. (2018)*AGCAS FirstYear Student Career Readiness SurveygResearch Report.* Available at: Jisc. (2022) *The Unite Foundation Scholarship Scheme. A quantitative impact analysis on 10 years of accommodation support for estranged and care leaver students.* Available at: <a href="https://thisisusatuni.org/wp">https://thisisusatuni.org/wp</a> -content/uploads/2022/06 /Unite-Foundation-JiscAnalysis - <a href="https://thisisusatuni.org/wp">Report- Final.pdf</a> (Accessed May 2023).

Johnstone, C., Westwood, A (2023)*Cost of living and higher education students, England: 30 January to 13 February 2023*. Available at:

https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/educationandchildcare/bulletins/ costoflivingandhighereducationstudentsengland/30januaryto 13february2023 (Accessed: 19 April 2023)

Jones, A (2022) *Learning with the lights off: students and the cost -of-living crisis.* Available at: https://www.millionplus.ac.uk/documents/Learning\_with\_the\_lights\_off\_ -

\_students\_and\_the\_cost\_of\_living\_crisis.pdf(Accessed: 19 April 2023)

Lander, V. (2022) *Launching an anti-racism framework for initial teacher education and training.* Available at: <u>https://www.leedsbeckett.ac.</u> uk/blogs/carnegie -

education/2022/11/anti -racism-framework/ Accessed June 2023).

Lees, D., Foster, K (2022)*Employability Blog Series: Employability Monsters- exploring the challenges and barriers to employability.* Available at:

https://www.hepi.ac.uk/2022/04/22/employability -blog-series-employability-monstersexploring-the-challenges-and-barriers-to-employability/ (Accessed: 19 April 2023)

Neves, J. (Advance HE) & Brown, A. (HEPI) (2022)*Student Academic Experience Survey.* Available at: *https://www.hepi.ac.uk/wp -content/uploads/2022/06/2022 -Student-Academic-Experience-Survey.pdf* (Accessed: 19 April 2023)

OfS (2022) *Insight 13. Schools, attainment and the role of higher education.* Available at: https://officeforstud ents.org.uk/media/24ac9501 -234f-4f34-bf44-edb13e5282c5/insight brief-13-schools-attainment-and-the-role-of-higher-education.pdf (Accessed: 19 April 2023) OfS. *Topic briefing: Black and minority ethnic (BME) students.* Available at:

https://www.officeforstudents.org.uk/media/145556db -8183-40b8-b7af-

741bf2b55d79/topic -briefing-bme-students.pdf (Accessed May 2023).

ONS (2021) Ethnic group, England and Wales: Census 2021Available at:

https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/culturalidentity/ethnicity/bulletins /ethnicgroupenglandandwales/census2021 (Accessed: 19 April 2023)

Pearson & WONKHE (2021)*Building Belonging in Higher Education. Recommendations for developing an integrated institutional approach.* Available at: https://wonkhe.com/wp - content/wonkhe -uploads/2022/10/Building -Belonging-October-2022.pdf (Accessed: 19 April 2023)

Phillips, C. (GTI Media Research) (2015)*Parental Influence: the key role playedby parents in* /# \$ - #\$' - )/. \$.\$\*). \*0/ - \*0/ . -*J18*. Available at: +\*./ https://www.accesshe.ac.uk/yYdIx0u7/Parental -Influence-Report-2015-FINAL-1-JULY-

00000003.pdf (Accessed: 19 April 2023)

Prospects (2021) *Early Careers Survey2021: Jobs, apprenticeships and postgraduate study.* Available at: https://luminate.prospects.ac.uk/early -careers-survey-2021-careers-adviceand-guidance (Accessed: 19 April 2023) Robertson, A., Mulcahy, E., Baars, S(2022) *What works to tackle mental health inequalities in higher education?* Available at: <u>https://s33320.pcdn.co/wp\_-</u>

content/uploads/Report What -works-to-tackle-mental-health-inequalities-in-highereducation AW-Secured-1.pdf (Accessed June 2023).

Styrnol, M., Matic, J., Hume, S. (2021)*Supporting access and student success for learners with experience of children I. . \* \$ '* Available at: <u>https://s33320.pcdn.co/wp</u>-

content/uploads/Supporting -learners-with -experience-of-childrens-social-care.pdf

(Accessed May(s33I7 Q24 677.10.98181 0.000.000008871 0 595f 1 0 0 1 272.42 677.62 Tm 0 G [6 )] TJ

### Fees, investments and targets

## Fees, investments and targets 2024-25 to 2027-28

Provider name: The University of Cumbria

Provider UKPRN: 10007842

#### Table 5b: Access and/or raising attainment targets

	Reference number	Lifecycle stage	Characteristic	Target group	Comparator group		Is this target collaborative?	Data source	Baseline year	Units	Baseline data	2024-25 milestone	2025-26 milestone	2026-27 milestone	2027-28 milestone
Raise the absolute number of FT	PTA_1	Access	Intersection of	Other (please specify in		Number of FT young male	No	The access and	2021-22	Headcount	30	33	38	41	45
young male entrants from			characteristics	description)		entrants from TUNDRA Q1.		participation							
TUNDRA Q1 by 50% over the								dataset							
duration of the APP.															
	PTA_2														
	PTA_3														
	PTA_4														
	PTA_5														
	PTA_6														
	PTA_7														
	PTA_8														
	PTA 9														
	PTA_10														
	PTA_11														
	PTA_12														
Table 5d: Success target	s														

Aim (500 characters maximum)	Reference number	Lifecycle stage	Characteristic	Target group	Comparator group		Is this target collaborative?		Baseline rear	Units	Baseline data	2024-25 milestone	2025-26 milestone	2026-27 milestone	2027-28 milestone	
Reduce the difference in continuation between FT Black students and white students by 12.5 percentage points by 2028.	PTS_1	Continuation	Ethnicity	Black	White	Percentage difference in continuation rates between Black students and white students.	No	The access and 2 participation dataset		Percentage points	17.5	15	11	7	5	
Reduce the difference in continuation between PT students aged 21 and over and students aged under 21by 12.6 percentage points by 2028.	PTS_2	Continuation	Age	Mature (over 21)	Young (under 21)	Percentage difference in continuation rates between PT students aged 21 and over and under 21 year olds continuing their studies.	No	The access and 2 participation dataset		Percentage points	17.6	15	11	7	5	
Reduce the difference in continuation between FT care- leaver students and non-care- leavers by 15 percentage points by 2028.	PTS_3	Continuation	Care leavers	Care leaver students												



PTP_5							
PTP_6							
PTP_7							T
PTP_8							
PTP_9							T
PTP_10							
PTP_11							
PTP_12							1